|        |   |                |                           |           |     |     | -         | Fencing / Planting | ng  |            |  |
|--------|---|----------------|---------------------------|-----------|-----|-----|-----------|--------------------|-----|------------|--|
| Option | Surfacing System                        | Surfacing Cost | Storage                   | Storage C | ost | Sto | rage Cost | / Lighting         | _   | Total Cost | Comments   |
| 1      | Updated original design (70 mm)         | _              | Included below surfacing  | _         |     | £   | 484,067   | £ 66,40            | n 4 | £ 550,467  | Grass finish - similar to other grass seeded options   |
| 1      | opuated original design (70 mm)         |                | included below surfacing  |           |     |     | 404,007   | 1 00,40            |     | 230,407    | Gravel finish is unattractive and may be unacceptable to planners - <b>not</b>   |
| 2      | Sudpave 40 - gravel finish              | -              | Included below surfacing  | -         |     | £   | 456,117   | £ 66,40            | 0 1 | £ 522,517  | recommended  |
|        |   |                |                           |           |     |     |           |                    |     |            | Much more aesthetically pleasing than a gravel finish. Likely to sit better  |
| 3      | Sudpave 40 - grass finish               | -              | Included below surfacing  | -         |     | £   | 469,081   | £ 66,40            | 0 1 | £ 535,481  | with the planners for this reason.   |
|        |   |                |                           |           |     |     |           |                    |     |            |  |
|        |   |                |                           |           |     |     |           |                    |     |            | Not suitable for heavy traffic.  |
|        |   |                |                           |           |     |     |           |                    |     |            | Presents a number of advantages over the seeded options. Most  |
|        |   |                |                           |           |     | _   | F24 702   |                    |     |            | notably, the finish will be much better and the car park can be occupied   |
| 4      | Sudpave 40 - pre-turfed                 | -              | Included below surfacing  | -         |     | £   | 524,783   | £ 66,40            | 0 1 | £ 591,183  | a number of months earlier. Recommended option if light vehicles only  |
|        |   |                |                           |           |     |     |           |                    |     |            | Heavy duty option for if heavy traffic is likely.  Grass finish (seeded).  |
| 5      | 80 mm Truckcell                         |                | Included below surfacing  |           |     | £   | 534,883   | £ 66.40            | ر ا | C CO1 202  | Heavy vehicular use is not anticipated, so not recommended   |
| 5      | 80 mm Truckceii                         | -              | included below surfacing  | -         |     | L   | 334,003   | £ 66,40            | 0 1 | E 001,283  | Gravel finish is unattractive and may be unacceptable to planners - <b>not</b>   |
| 6      | Bodpave 85 - black (gravel)             | _              | Included below surfacing  | _         |     | £   | 471,945   | £ 66,40            | n   | £ 538.345  | recommended  |
| 7      | Bodpave 85 - black (grass - seeded)     | _              | Included below surfacing  | _         |     | £   | 484,910   |                    | _   | ,          | Grass finish - similar to other grass seeded options   |
| 8      | Gridforce 40 (grass - seeded)           | -              | Included below surfacing  | _         |     | £   | 531,491   | , -                | _   |            | Grass finish - similar to other grass seeded options   |
| 9      | Ritepave (40 mm units) (grass - seeded) | -              | Included below surfacing  | _         | _   | £   | 484,910   | £ 66,40            | _   | -          | Grass finish - similar to other grass seeded options   |
|        | .,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, |                |                           |           |     |     |           |                    |     |            | An MOT Type 1 surface will degrade relatively quickly. Furthermore it  |
|        |   |                |                           |           |     |     |           |                    |     |            | will be unappealing aesthetically, and for that reason is unlikely to sit  |
|        | MOT Type 1 (graded stone - compacted)   |                |                           |           |     |     |           |                    |     |            | well with planners. A pond will use valuable space and reduce the size   |
| 10     | + swale / pond                          | £ 287,822      | Swale / pond              | £ 60,0    | 000 | £   | 347,822   | £ 70,00            | 0 1 | £ 417,822  | of the car park. For these reasons, this option is <b>not recommended</b> .  |
|        |   |                |                           |           |     |     |           |                    |     |            |  |
|        |   |                |                           |           |     |     |           |                    |     |            | An MOT Type 1 surface will degrade relatively quickly. Furthermore it  |
|        |   |                |                           |           |     |     |           |                    |     |            | will be unappealing aesthetically, and for that reason is unlikely to sit  |
|        | MOT Type 1 (graded stone - compacted)   |                |                           |           |     |     |           |                    |     |            | well with planners. The pond is likely to occupy an unacceptably large   |
| 11     | + cellular storage                      | £ 291,422      | Geocellular storage units | £ 90,0    | 000 | £   | 381,422   | £ 66,40            | 0 1 | £ 447,822  | area of land. For these reasons, this option is <b>not recommended</b> .   |
|        |   |                |                           |           |     |     |           |                    |     |            | Using road planings is considered risky due to the variability in the  |
|        |   |                |                           |           |     |     |           |                    |     |            | quality of batches. There is a reasonable risk that the end result could   |
|        |   |                |                           |           |     |     |           |                    |     |            | be sub-standard, having still spent a significant sum on the project. A  |
|        |   |                |                           |           |     |     |           |                    |     |            | pond will use valuable space and reduce the size of the car park. Quality  |
|        |   |                |                           |           |     | _   |           |                    |     |            | control is very hard to achieve. For these reasons, this option is <b>not</b>  |
| 12     | Road planings + swale/pond              | £ 284,204      | Swale / pond              | £ 60,0    | 000 | £   | 344,204   | £ 70,00            | 0 1 | £ 414,204  | recommended.   |
|        |   |                |                           |           |     |     |           |                    |     |            | Using road planings is considered risky due to the variability in the quality of batches. There is a reasonable risk that the end result could |
|        |   |                |                           |           |     |     |           |                    |     |            | be sub-standard, having still spent a significant sum on the project.  |
|        |   |                |                           |           |     |     |           |                    |     |            | Quality control is very hard to achieve. For this reason, this option is <b>not</b>  |
| 12     | Road planings+ cellular storage         | £ 207.004      | Geocellular storage units | £ 90.0    | 000 | t   | 377,804   | £ 66,40            | 0 1 | 6 444 204  | recommended.   |
| 12     | noau piailings+ cellular storage        | L 287,804      | Geocemalar storage units  | 1 90,0    | JUU | Ľ   | 377,004   | 1 05,40            | U 1 | 1 444,204  | recommended.   |

## Note:

In order to meet current basic SuDS regulations, storage / attenuation and water quality improvements are required. This can be provided by either storage within a granular sub-base (all the plastic grid options) or, in the case of the impermeable surfacings (MOT Type 1 / road planings), by providing below ground plastic crate storage, or swales / a pond.

All the surfacings apart from MOT Type 1 and road planings comprise plastic geocellular grid units finished with either gravel or grass

The above budget costs were prepared by Talus Construction (apart from the storage costs)

The above costs do not take into account annual maintenance costs which will vary depending on the option

The storage costs for options 10 to 13 inc. are very much ball park figures

If a decision is made to proceed with one of the above options, then it is recommended that more detailed costings are obtained